

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

FILE COPY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:
KAREN LEE ORZECOWSKI
LINIAK, BERENATO & WHITE,
6550 ROCK SPRING DRIVE, SUITE 204
BETHESDA, MD 20817

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION

(PCT Rule 66)

To: KAREN LEE ORZECOWSKI LINIAK, BERENATO & WHITE, 6550 ROCK SPRING DRIVE, SUITE 204 BETHESDA, MD 20817		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 09119.035		REPLY DUE within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing
International application No. PCT/US02/23703	International filing date (day/month/year) 26 July 2002 (26.07.2002)	Priority date (day/month/year) 26 July 2001 (26.07.2001)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): G06F 17/30 and US Cl.: 707/102,203,		
Applicant THOUGHT, INC.		

1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
 - I ☒ Basis of the opinion
 - II ☐ Priority
 - III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
 - IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
 - V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 - VI ☐ Certain documents cited
 - VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
 - VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application
3. The applicant is hereby **invited to reply** to this opinion.

When? See the time limit indicated above. ~~The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension. See rule 66.2(d).~~

How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.
For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 *bis*.
For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 26 November 2003 (26.11.2003).

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230	Authorized officer Safet Metjahic Telephone No. 703- 305- 3900
---	--

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US02/23703

FILE COPY

I. Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

- ☒ the international application as originally filed
- ☒ the description:
 pages 1-77, as originally filed
 pages NONE, filed with the demand
 pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the claims:
 pages 78 and 79, as originally filed
 pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
 pages NONE, filed with the demand
 pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the drawings:
 pages 1-2, as originally filed
 pages NONE, filed with the demand
 pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
 pages NONE, as originally filed
 pages NONE, filed with the demand
 pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in printed form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☒ the description, pages None
- ☒ the claims, Nos. None
- ☒ the drawings, sheets/fig None

5. ☐ This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/US02/23703

FILE COPY

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>4-6, 8-10</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-3, 7</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>1-10</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-10</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

1. Claims 1 - 2, 7 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Shigemi et al (U.S. 6,314,434).

♦ As per claim 1, 7,

Shigemi et al teaches a system for creating or maintaining transparent persistence of a member selected from the group consisting of:

"An object graph model" See Fig. 1, Figs. 24 - 29, col. 11 line 13 - 31.

♦ As per claim 2,

Each structured data object can be represented as a tree structure having a plurality of data elements, or node (See col. 4 line 27 - 29). This tree structure corresponds to an object model. Therefore, the system of Shigemi does not require any modifications to an object model.

2. Claims 4 - 6, 8 - 10, meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a generic EJB tasteful session bean in combination with other limitation of the claims.

♦ As per claim 3,

Shigemi et al teaches about the distributed network environment at col. 6 line 1 - 5.

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/US02/23703

FILE COPY

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

FILE COPY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 09119.038	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No. PCT/US02/17145	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 03 June 2002 (03.06.2002)	(Earliest) Priority Date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 01 June 2001 (01.06.2001)
Applicant THOUGHT, INC.		

This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This international search report consists of a total of ____ sheets.



It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Basis of the Report

- a. With regard to the **language**, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.



the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

- b. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:



contained in the international application in written form.



filed together with the international application in computer readable form.



furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.



furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.



the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.



the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

2. ☐ **Certain claims were found unsearchable** (See Box I).

3. ☐ **Unity of invention is lacking** (See Box II).

4. With regard to the title,



the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.



the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the **abstract**,



the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.



the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. The figure of the **drawings** to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1



as suggested by the applicant.



because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.



because this figure better characterizes the invention.



None of the figures

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US02/17143

FILE COPY

Box III TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of Item 5 of the first sheet)

NEW ABSTRACT

The invention provides systems, methods and software for creating, maintaining, accessing, navigating and persisting complex data objects (CDO) and associated data stores (Figure 1). In one aspect, the invention also provides an application programming interface ("API") useful for creating, maintaining, accessing, navigating and persisting complex data objects and associated data stores as a CDOG model.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US02/17145

FILE COPY

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : G06F 17/30

US CL : 707/2, 3, 6, 100, 102, 103R, 200, 503; 717/5.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 707/2, 3, 6, 100, 102, 103R, 200, 503; 717/5.

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
EAST

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,799,310 A (Anderson et al) 25 August 1998 (25.08.1998), column 6, lines 29 - 62; column 8, lines 5 - 23.	1 - 15
A	US 5,855,013 A (Fisk) 29 December 1998 (29.12.1998), abstract, column 2, lines 7 - 38; column 6, lines 29 - 40; column 8, lines 31 - 57.	1 - 15
Y	US 6,096,095 A (Halstead) 01 August 2000 (01.08.2000), abstract, column 2, lines 35 - 54; column 4, line 57 - column 5, line 46.	1 - 15
A	US 6,138,130 A (Adler et al) 24 October 2000 (24.10.2000).	1 - 15
A,P	US 6,405,198 B1 11 June 2002 (11.06.2002).	1 - 15

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

20 July 2002 (20.07.2002)

Date of mailing of the international search report

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Shahid Al Alam

Telephone No. (703) 305-2358